	1 L-250	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
Thomas E. Kendall, Esq.		
Certified Family Law Specialist		
Kendall & Gkikas, LLP.		
143 Harvard Avenue, Second Floor		
Claremont, CA 91711	ORIGINIA.	
TELEPHONE NO.: 909-482-1422 FAX NO.:	OTTIGITVAL FILED	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY	- ILLU	
	007	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	UCI 0 1 2000	
STREET ADDRESS: 400 Civic Center Plaza	1 2009	
MAILING ADDRESS: Same	EAG-	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: POMONA, CA 91766-3229	EAST DISTRICT	
BRANCH NAME: East District	ORIGINAL FILED OCT 0 1 2009 EAST DISTRICT	
PETITIONER: NI MADE JATI		
RESPONDENT: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY		
	CASE NUMBER:	
JUDGMENT	KD 073003	
	RB 073003	
1 This judgment contains personal conduct restraining orders modif	ies existing restraining orders.	
	ttachment.	
	m must be attached.	
2. a. This matter proceeded as follows: Default or uncontested By decla	ration X Contested	
b. Date: 3/17/09 Dept.: B	Room:	
c. Judicial officer (name): SUSAN LOPEZ-GISS Temporary jud	ge	
d. Petitioner present X Attorney present (name): JULIE DUNCA		
e. X Respondent present X Attorney present (name): THOMAS E. K		
() in parameter appeared mineral actions and made daylood of		
(2) The petitioner signed Advisement and Waiver of Rights Re: E	Establishment of Parental Relationship	
(form FL-235).		
(3) The petitioner is married to the Respondent, and no other action is pending.		
(4) The petitioner signed a Voluntary Declaration of Paternity.		
(5) There is a prior judgment of parentage in a family support, judgment of parentage in a family support of parentage in a famil	uselle exadestina and	
(3) — There is a prior judgment of parentage in a family support, ju	venile, or adoption court case.	
g. Respondent (1) The respondent appeared without counsel and was advised of	of relevant rights.	
(2) The respondent signed Advisement and Waiver of Rights Re		
(form FL-235).	. Establishment of Farental Kelationship	
(3) The respondent is married to the Petitioner, and no other action	on is pending.	
(4) The respondent signed a Voluntary Declaration of Paternity.		
(5) There is a prior judgment of parentage in a family support, juv	enile or adoption court case	
	erille of adoption court case.	
h. Other parties or attorneys present (specify):		
3. THE COURT FINDS		
Name: NI MADE JATI X Mother Fatt	ner	
Name: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY Mother X Fath		
	ner	
are the parents of the following children:		
Child's name	Date of birth	
SEAN DONNELLY	3/17/93	
BRENDEN DONNELLY		
DIABLE DOMNERS	9/17/94	
4. THE COURT ORDERS		
(1) Child Custody and Visitation Order Attachment (form FL-341)		
(2) Stipulation for Order for Child Custody and/or Visitation of Children (form FL-355)		
	(101111 1 2-000)	
(3) X Other (specify): ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT		



PETITIONER: NI MADE JATI		CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY		KD 073003
5. THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS a. X Child support is as stated in one or more of the attached (1) Child Support Information and Order Attachmen (2) Stipulation to Establish or Modify Child Support (3) X Other (specify): ATTACHMENT TO JUDG	nt (form FL-342) and Order (form FL-350 MENT	
 b. Both parties must complete and file with the court a Child Support Case Registry Form (form FL-191) within 10 days of the date of this judgment. Thereafter, the parents must notify the court of any change in the information submitted, within 10 days of the change. c. The form Notice of Rights and Responsibilities—Health Care Costs and Reimbursement Procedures and Information Sheet on 		
d. The last names of the children are changed to (specify):		
e. The birth certificates must be amended to conform to this (1) adding the father's name. (2) changing the last name of the children. f. X Attorney fees and costs are as stated in the attachment. g. Reasonable expenses of pregnancy and birth are as stath. X Other (specify): SEE ATTACHMENT TO JUDGME	ed in the attachment.	
X Continued on Attachment 3h. ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT		
6. Number of pages attached: 6		
Date: XXXXXXXXXX	X SIGNATURE FOLLOW	JUDICIAL OFFICER VS LAST ATTACHMENT
NOTICE: Any party required to pay child support must pay interest on overdue amounts at the "legal" rate, which is currently 10 percent.		

Thomas E. Kendall, Esq. (State Bar #157891)

Certified Family Law Specialist
California State Bar Association
Board of Legal Specialization
Kendall & Gkikas, LLP

P.O. Box 877, 143 Harvard Ave, 2nd Floor
Claremont, CA 91711
(909) 482-1422

Attorney for: Michael Donnelly

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, EAST DISTRICT

In re the Matter of:

NI MADE JATI,

Petitioner,

vs.

MICHAEL DONNELLY,

Respondent.

Case No. KD 073003

ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT

WHEREAS: This matter came on for Trial on March 17, 2009 at 8:30 AM in Department B of the above-entitled court, the Honorable Susan Lopez-Giss, Judge presiding. The Respondent having appeared with counsel and Petitioner having not appeared, but represented by Julie Anne Duncan for Petitioner, Thomas E. Kendall for Respondent, and Patrick Barnitt having not appeared for the minor children and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered as follows:

- 1. The Court finds that the Respondent and the Petitioner are the parents of two minor children, Sean Wayan Donnelly, born 3/17/93 and Brenden Surya Donnelley, born 9/17/94.
- The court finds that these children were born subsequent to marriage here in Los Angeles, said marriage being in 1985.
- The court grants Respondent sole legal and sole physical custody of said minor children, Sean Wayan Donnelly and Brenden Surya Donnelly.
- Petitioner shall have only monitored visitation with the minor children and she shall pay 100% of the costs.

- 5. The Petitioner shall not remove the minor children from the County of Los Angeles, the State of California and/or the country of the United States without the signed written and notarized consent of the Respondent or further order of this court.
- 6. Minor's counsel, Patrick Barnitt shall return the children's passports to the Respondent.
- 7. Respondent may travel with the minor children out of the State of California and out of the Country of the United States without further consent or court order.
- 8. This court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (Part 3 of the California Family Code commencing with section 3048).
- Any reference in this document to a minor child refers to any minor child listed above unless otherwise specified.
- 10. The home state and habitual residence of each minor child for all purposes, including interstate and international child custody jurisdiction is California.
- 11. Both parties have been given notice and opportunity to be heard as provided by the laws of the State of California.
- 12. The country of habitual residence of the child in this case is the United States. If a party violates this order, the party may be subject to civil or criminal penalties, or both.
 - Minor's counsel, Patrick Barnitt is relieved.
- 14. Minor's counsel may submit a fee declaration to this court and this court orders that the Petitioner shall pay any and all additional minor's counsel fees owing to Mr. Barnitt.
- 15. The Petitioner shall reimburse to the Respondent the sum of \$1,333 that he already paid to Mr. Barnitt for minor's counsel fees on or before July 1, 2009.
- 16. As and for attorney fees, costs and sanctions the Petitioner shall pay to the Respondent \$30,000 on or before July 1, 2009.
- 17. The Petitioner shall pay the Respondent as and for child support the sum of \$4,029 for Sean Wayan Donnelly, born 3/17/93 and \$6,719 for Brenden Surya Donnelley, born 9/17/94 for a total of \$10,747 per month commencing June 1, 2008 payable one-half on the 1st and one-half on the 15th days of each month and continuing monthly thereafter until the each

minor child reaches the age of eighteen (18) and graduates from high school or obtains the age of nineteen (19) or until further order of the Court, whichever occurs first.

- 18. The Respondent's request for modification of the order made as to support previously is thus denied and the prior order as to child support shall remain in full force and effect.
 - 19. This is a permanent order as to custody, visitation, support and attorney fees.
- 20. Upon submission by Respondent to Petitioner's counsel of an itemized list of all costs related to the deposition, including, but not limited to hotel rental fees, court reporter fees and other costs, the Petitioner shall reimburse to the Respondent the actual cost for her deposition incurred by the Respondent on or before the later of a) the date those are submitted to Petitioner's counsel or b) July 31, 2009.
- 21. The Court finds that the United States and the State of California is the habitual residence of the minor children.
- 22. The parties stipulated to the jurisdiction of this Court by both seeking permanent relief and signing stipulations for orders as to visitation and other relief.
- 23. The Court strikes the Petition and allows the Respondent to proceed by default at time of trial.
- 24. The Court further finds that the Petitioner sought the assistance of the Superior Court of and for the County of Los Angeles and left without justification, leaving the Court with no other option except to find that she abandoned the children and she bear the cost of the entire litigation in this matter.
 - The parents are fully informed of their rights concerning child support.
- 26. Both parties must complete and file with the court a *Child Support Case Registry*Form within ten days of the date of the entry of this Order. Thereafter, the parties must notify the court of any change in the information submitted within ten days of the change by filing an updated form.
- 27. The further orders and findings of the court as reflected in the court's transcript for the hearing on March 17, 2009 at 8:30 AM in Department B of the above-entitled court are as follows:

- 28. The court reserves jurisdiction to award additional fees for minor's counsel's fees for any fees incurred after the date of March 17, 2009 as the court does not have a declaration from minor's counsel for any additional fees and therefore reserves on that issue.
- 29. This was a custody matter that was filed by Petitioner on March 19, 2008 and the parties were divorced in Jakarta on February 28, 2008. The court has no evidence that Respondent kidnapped the children. There were no custody orders pertaining to the minor children.
- 30. In Petitioner's Petition, she indicates that the minor children are of this relationship and that Respondent is the father of the children. Therefore, paternity has been established by the Petitioner under penalty of perjury.
- 31. The Respondent filed a Response to the Petition seeking sole legal custody and sole physical custody and indicated that visitation would be supervised by a professional monitor.
- 32. The Respondent also sought child abduction orders and certain other orders.

 Respondent did not object to this court having permanent jurisdiction and finding that the children are subject to the jurisdiction of California as to custody, visitation and support.
- 33. Then a war broke out between the Petitioner and the Respondent in court. While the Petitioner was originally at a hearing in this court on May 7, 2008. The parties reached a stipulated visitation agreement where the father was going to have the care and custody of the children and that mother would have reasonable visitation commencing May 12, 2008 with all details to be decided by mutual agreement.
- 34. There was a stipulation at that time that the court had jurisdiction over the children because California was their home state. Their habitual country of residence was the United States of America and both parties are advised any violation of this court order would result in civil or criminal penalties. The Petitioner signed that agreement as did the respondent.
- 35. On May 15, 2008, the court appointed Mr. Barnitt. And the Petitioner was present, and the court ordered a child custody evaluation with each party to pay one-half of the cost and the court acknowledged additional visitation for the Petitioner with the children on May 24th and May 31st, 2008.

- 36. June 4, 2008, the Petitioner was not present. The court then indicated the children were not to travel to Bali, and the passports were to be surrendered to minor's counsel. The Petitioner and the Respondent were ordered to participate in counseling, and the matter was continued until July 31st. The Petitioner was not present, but her counsel was present.
- 37. On July 31st, the Petitioner again was not present. The court ordered sole legal and physical custody of the minor children to the Respondent. The Petitioner was allowed to have visitation as arranged to by the parties. They were still to cooperate with the 730 evaluator. The Petitioner was not allowed to remove the children from the United States without order of this court. There was an order of support in the amount of \$10,747 per month, \$4,028 for Sean, \$6,819 for Brenden, totaling \$10,747.
- 38. The court reserved jurisdiction at the time of the trial. There was then Respondent's motion for a deposition. The court approved that motion to be heard in Bali in October of 2008. The Petitioner was not present. The Petitioner's counsel was present. The court on its own motion modified the order to be consistent with the case of *Beverly Hills National Bank and Trust* 195 Cal. App. 2nd 861.
- 39. The matter was continued from December until January of 2009. Petitioner was to be present and the Petitioner was not present. The court set an Order To Show Cause against the Petitioner for her failure to appear at the deposition and comply with discovery. The Respondent made a request for terminating sanctions which were provisionally granted. The court set a settlement conference for March 3, 2009, and for trial on March 17, 2009. The Petitioner was ordered to be present on March 3, 2009.
- 40. On March 3, 2009, the Petitioner was not present. The court ordered sanctions for her failure to appear at the deposition and comply with discovery in the amount of \$1,000. The court reserved on the issue of striking the Petitioner and allowing Respondent to proceed by default.
- 41. The court notes the Petitioner was not present at the trial on Tuesday, March 17, 2009. the Petitioner has not complied with the court's orders for discovery. The Petitioner has not complied with the court's order to comply or appear at the deposition. The Petitioner has not complied with the court's order to comply with discovery. The Petitioner has not complied with

- 42. The court makes these rulings based upon the fact that the Petitioner initiated the proceedings in this court, sought this court's assistance, and then left the jurisdiction never to return. There is no indication as to why, and the court knows that the Petitioner sought to stop the court from exercising jurisdiction forgetting the fact that the Respondent had filed a Response and sought relief of the court. The Petitioner left for reasons unproven or unsubstantiated with this court. And, quite frankly, the court gave the Petitioner so many opportunities to come back to court. The court gave the Petitioner as much visitation as possible every time the Petitioner was in town. And it is the court's opinion that, for whatever reason, the Petitioner chose to return to her family and her businesses, which was uncontroverted, in Bali. The court makes a finding that Petitioner abandoned her children.
- 43. In the meantime, she caused the Respondent to expend funds to obtain relief that she originally sought for herself. It is on that basis that the court determines that Petitioner should have to bear the cost of the entire litigation in this matter. Minor's counsel was involved; a court evaluator was involved; they were all involved initially by the initiation of the Petitioner, and she left. The Petitioner has given the court absolutely no recourse. That is the order of the court.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

Date:

Date:

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: OCT 01 2009

Julie Duncan, Attorney for Petitioner

Patrick Barnitt, Attorney for M Children Por Custons \$V

Susan L. Lopez-Giss

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

COSTA MESA, CA. 92626

143 N. HARVARD AVE., 2ND FLOOR

CLAREMONT, CA. 91711

EXEMPLIFICATION

Petitioner: Respondents: NI MADE JATI

MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY

CASE NUMBER:

** KD073003**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES I, JOHN A. CLARKE, Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles do hereby certify and attest that I am the custodian of records of the said Court, and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the original /////CHILD CUSTODY, CHILD VISITATION, CHILD SUPPORT////////

on file or of record in my office, and that I have carefully compared the same with the original.

Executed and Seal of Said Court Affixed at Los Angeles, California on

NOVEMBER 5, 2009

EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF

NIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I, CHARLES W. McCOY Jr., Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles do hereby certify that JOHN A. CLARKE is Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles (which is a court of record having by law a seal); that the signature to the foregoing certificate and attestation is the genuine signature of the said JOHN A. CLARKE as such officer, that the seal annexed thereto is the seal of said Superior Court, that said JOHN A. CLARKE as such officer is the legal custodian of the original records or documents described and referred to in the foregoing certificate; is the proper officer having the authority to execute and said certificate and attestation, and such attestation is in due and proper form according to the laws of the State of California.

Executed at Los

Angeles, California on NOVEMBER 5, 2009

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



LACIV 111 (Rev. 01-09) LASC Approved 04-04

PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CANFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, the same being a public entity having by law a seal, do hereby certify that CHARLES W. McCOY Jr., whose name is subscribed to the foregoing certificate of due and proper attestation was, at the time of signing same, Presiding Judge of the Superior Court aforesaid and was duly commissioned, qualified and authorized by law to execute said certificate. And I do further certify that the oath of office, or a true and correct copy thereof, of the judge above named is on file or of record in my office, that I am well acquainted with his handwriting, and verily believe the signature of the said judge to the said certificate to be genuine.

Executed and Seal of Said Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk Affixed at Los Angeles, California on NOVEMBER 5, 2009

REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

EXEMPLIFICATION